The Senate Armed Services Committee's "investigation" of Francis Gary Powers is, of course, a farceand, up to a point, the wraps may be understandable. Conceivably, the Russians don't know the full answers to those tantalizing questions everyone is asking (How was the U-2 really brought down? Why didn't Powers scuttle it? etc.), and if so, the CIA's efforts to keep the answers out of the public domain are justified. But there

is a further question, on the face of it not involving security, that we would like someone to ask, namely: Why did Powers so obligingly turn himself over to the Soviet propaganda apparatus after his capture? "I realize that I have committed a most grave crime and deserve punishment," he said at his trial. "I understand that as the result of my flight the Summit Conference did not take place and President Eisenhower's visit was called off. There was, I suppose, a great increase in world tension. . . . The people who sent me should think of these things. . . . I am profoundly sorry that I have had anything to do with this . . . [I did] a very ill-service [to my country]." Is there nothing in the CIA contract, on the basis of which Powers is claiming and getting \$50,000 back pay, to cover this sort of thing? Shouldn't there be?

